

**IN THE DRAWINGS**

A "Replacement Sheet" is attached which includes a clean version of amended Figure 4. The attached sheet replaces the original sheet including Figure 4.

An "Annotated Sheet Showing Changes" is also attached which includes a marked-up version of Figure 4.

Figure 4 was amended to show the removable battery B, as requested by the Examiner. The contacts 7, 8, 9 and 10 have been amended to dashed lines to indicate that they are typically on an underside of the battery shown in the figure. However, even though the antenna 11, housing 12 and other features are shown in solid lines (indicating that they may be on the outward facing side of the battery), the invention is not so limited and these features can be on an underside of the battery or within a housing of the battery, as would be apparent to one of skill.

### REMARKS

In response to the Office Action mailed November 26, 2008, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration. Claims 1-2, 7-8, 11, 16-22 and 24 were previously pending in this application. By this amendment, claims 1, 2, 18 and 19 have been amended.

Furthermore, the Drawings have been amended.

No new matter has been added.

### Allowable Subject Matter

The Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating that claim 1-2, 7-8, 11-22 and 24 have been allowed, but that claims 1, 2, 18 and 19 should be amended to recite appropriate process steps.

As an initial matter, the Applicants note that claims 12-15 were canceled in a prior amendment such that the Office Action should note that claims 1-2, 7-8, 11, 16-22 and 24 are allowed (except for the minor informality objections to claims 1, 2, 18 and 19). Applicants assume that the inclusion of claims 12-15 as being allowed was a typographical error in the Office Action. If this is not the case, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned.

As noted above, claims 1, 2, 18 and 19 have been amended to recite appropriate process steps.

Accordingly, withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested and all claims should now be in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that additional corrections are required, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned to discuss.

### Objections to the Drawings

On page 2 of the Office Action, the drawings were objected to because there are no detail drawings to help understand the invention. As noted in the Office Action, the previous argument of the Applicant was that an antenna is fixed on the primary power supply. The Office Action indicates that this feature is not shown in any of the drawings and the Examiner requests that the drawings be amended to correct this issue.

As requested, the Applicants herewith submit amended Figure 4, showing a removable battery labeled "B". Applicants believe that the drawings now clearly show to one of skill in the

art that the antenna is fixed to the removable battery, as indicated in the specification (see, e.g., page 7, lines 7-13.

The Office Action further indicates that the drawings submitted do not have proper labels but just numbers such that continual reference back to the specification is required to understand what a particular part the number is referencing.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration. Per MPEP 608.02 and 37 C.F.R. 1.84(p), the drawings appear to be in proper format using reference designation characters to refer to particular features of the drawings. Applicants are unaware of any requirement that the drawings include a written description of the feature in the drawings themselves in order to explain the feature. In this regard, it is common practice to include reference designations in the drawings and to refer to the specification to understand to what a particular part the number is referring. If the Examiner continues to maintain the objection, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned in order to resolve this issue.

It is believed that all of the pending claims have been addressed. However, the absence of a reply to a specific rejection, issue, or comment set forth in the Office Action does not signify agreement with or concession of that rejection, issue or comment. In addition, because the arguments made above may not be exhaustive, there may be reasons for patentability of any or all pending claims (or other claims) that have not been expressed. Furthermore, nothing in this paper should be construed as intent to concede any issue with regard to any claim, except as specifically stated in this paper, and the amendment of any claim does not necessarily signify any concession of unpatentability of the claim prior to its amendment.

A Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at the telephone number listed below if this communication does not place the case in condition for allowance.

If this response is not considered timely filed and if a request for an extension of time is otherwise absent, Applicant hereby requests any necessary extension of time. If there is a fee

occasioned by this response, including an extension fee, the Director is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment in the fees filed, asserted to be filed or which should have been filed herewith to our Deposit Account No. 23/2825, under Docket No. S1022.81211US00.

Dated: February 26, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Neil P. Ferraro  
Registration No.: 39,188  
WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.  
Federal Reserve Plaza  
600 Atlantic Avenue  
Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2206  
617.646.8000




Fig. 3

Fig. 4

